GREGORY GALATOLO

CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY,

Defendant.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY Case No. MDL-09-02040-RMW SERVICE STATION RENT CONTRACT LITIGATION BALWINDER SINGH, Case No. C-09-03049-JF Plaintiff, v. CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY, Defendant.

Plaintiff,

V.

ORDER DENYING RELATION WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Case No. C-09-03570-JF

3 | (0 | 5 | th | 6 | (N | 7 | alf | 8 | arr | 9 | de | 10 | id | 11 | Pl | 12 | ca | 13 | Pr | 14 | U | 15 | U | 16 | vi | 17 | pl |

(C-09-03409 JF) and *Galatolo v. ConocoPhillips Company* (C-09-03570 JF) should be related to the multi-district litigation *In Re: ConocoPhillips Co. Service Station Rent Contract Litigation* (MDL-09-02040 RMW) assigned to this court. Defendant asserts that *Singh* and *Galatolo* involve allegations that defendant has failed to comply with the California Franchise Investment Law by, among other things, not disclosing rent increases, debit and credit card processing fees and defendant's intent to sell the plaintiffs' service stations and that those allegations are essentially identical to the allegations made in *In Re: ConocoPhillips Company Rent Contract Litigation*. Plaintiffs oppose relation and contend that defendant failed to mention fact that each of the MDL cases alleges federal jurisdiction arising out ConocoPhillips' violation of the Petroleum Marketing Practices Act ("PMPA"), 15 U.S.C. Section 2805, which explicitly confers jurisdiction on the United States Courts, regardless of the amount in controversy, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §1331. Plaintiffs say that neither *Singh* nor *Galatolo* alleges or raises any claims for violation of the PMPA. Rather, all of the claims raised are based solely on California law and each plaintiff specifically seeks damages of less than \$75,000. Since the cases were brought into federal court by notices of removal filed by defendant, plaintiffs intend to seek remand to state court.

Defendant ConocoPhillips has filed notices that cases Singh v. ConocoPhillips Company

The court finds that relation of the *Singh* and *Galatolo* should be determined after plaintiffs' remand motions are determined. Therefore, the motion to relate *Singh* and *Galatolo* to *In Re:*ConocoPhillips Co. Service Station Rent Contract Litigation is denied without prejudice. If the motions to remand are denied, defendant may renew its request that the cases be related.

DATED: 9/11/09

RONALD M. WHYTE
United States District Judge